FACIAL ATTRACTIVENESS IS RELATED TO WOMEN'S CORTISOL AND BODY FAT, BUT NOT WITH IMMUNE RESPONSIVENESS

Sanita Kecko¹, Indriķis Krams¹, Vinet Coetzee², Fhionna R. Moore³, Ilona Skrinda¹, Tatjana Krama¹, Inese Kivleniece¹ and Markus J. Rantala⁴

¹ Institute of Systematic Biology, University of Daugavpils, 5401 Daugavpils, Latvia

³ School of Psychology, University of Dundee, Dundee DD1 4HN, UK

The growing field of evolutionary psychology reports a large body of evidence to suggest that standards of beauty are not arbitrary cultural conventions, pointing to, for example, cross cultural agreement in preferences for cues to health and fertility (Langlois et al. 2000). Evolutionary psychologists interpret preferences as strategies evolved owing to the selective benefits accrued to those who chose their mates based on these criteria (Rhodes 2006). To argue that such preferences are adaptive, however, it is necessary to show that preferred traits serve as cues to fecundity, health or other traits that enhance fitness, and contribute to higher reproductive success.

Here, we photographed young Latvian women, vaccinated them against hepatitis B and measured the amount of specific antibodies produced, cortisol levels and percentage body fat. Latvian men rated the attractiveness of the women's faces. Interestingly, in women, immune responsiveness (amount of antibodies produced) did not predict facial attractiveness. Instead, plasma cortisol level was negatively associated with attractiveness, indicating that stressed women look less attractive. Fat percentage was curvilinearly associated with facial attractiveness, indicating that being too thin or too fat reduces attractiveness.

Our study suggests that in contrast to men, facial attractiveness in women does not indicate immune responsiveness against hepatitis B, but is associated with two other aspects of long-term health and fertility: circulating levels of the stress hormone cortisol and percentage body fat.

References:

- 1. Langlois J, Kalakanis L, Rubenstein A, Larson A, Hallam M, Smoot M. 2000 Maxims or myths of beauty? Ameta-analytic and theoretical review. Psychol. Bull. 126, 390–423.
- 2. Rhodes G. 2006 The evolutionary psychology of facial beauty. Ann. Rev. Psychol. 57, 199–226.

² Department of Genetics, University of Pretoria, Hatfield 0028, Pretoria, South Africa

⁴ Department of Biology, Section of Ecology, University of Turku, 20014 Turku, Finland e-mail: sunny-sanita@inbox.lv