

## Microbiology of composting pig waste: Comparison of vermicomposting and open heap techniques

Ogefere, H. O.<sup>1,3,\*</sup>, Ogbimi, A. O.<sup>2</sup> and Omoregie, R.<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Medical Laboratory Sciences, School of Basic Medical Sciences, College of Medical Sciences, University of Benin, Benin City, Edo State.

<sup>2</sup>Department of Microbiology, Faculty of Life Sciences, University of Benin, Benin City, Edo State.

<sup>3</sup>School of Medical Laboratory Science, University of Benin Teaching Hospital, P.M.B. 1111, Benin City, Edo State, Nigeria.

E-mail: [helenogefere@yahoo.com](mailto:helenogefere@yahoo.com)

Received 7 May 2009; received in revised form 13 July 2009; accepted 13 July 2009

### ABSTRACT

Against the background of an effective waste management, microbiological studies of composting pig waste were investigated. Freshly deposited excreta from confined pigs in a private pig farm in Benin City, Edo State, Nigeria were composted by two aerobic methods – vermicomposting and open heap. Microbial (bacterial and fungal) counts and characterization were carried out periodically within the 40 weeks of composting, using standard techniques. The results showed that only duration of composting significantly ( $p < 0.05$ ) affected microbial counts as the counts decreased from the initial value at week zero to much lower value at week 40. A total of 274 bacterial and fungal isolates were recovered from the composting waste and majority (60.58%) were isolated from the open heap. *Bacillus subtilis*, *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* and *Aspergillus flavus* were the predominant isolates recovered (9.49% each), and were the only isolates recovered throughout the period of composting irrespective of the composting technique. *Staphylococcus aureus* and *Salmonella typhimurium* were the least isolated (1.09% each). Vermicomposting technique was recommended on health and environmental grounds.

**Keywords:** composting, microbiological examination, open heap composting, vermicomposting

### INTRODUCTION

Compost is the product of aerobic process during which microorganisms play an important role. Essentially, the microorganisms decompose the organic matter into a stable amendment for improving soil quality and fertility (Borken *et al.*, 2002; Tiquia, 2005). A number of biological wastes can be used for composting which include municipal solid wastes, animal and human excreta, (Ryckeboer *et al.*, 2003; Tiquia, 2005). The importance of composting include production of organic manure, land scaping and restoration of denuded areas such as areas of oil spillage (Jarvis *et al.*, 1988; Atkinson *et al.*, 1996; Guibileo *et al.*, 1998).

Although several reports are available concerning the composition and dynamics of the microflora during the composting of these wastes (Ryckeboer *et al.*, 2003), little is known about the microbial diversity during the composting of the organic fraction of the waste. Monitoring of the microbial succession is important in the effective management of the composting process as microorganisms play important roles in the process and the appearance of some microorganisms reflects the quality of maturity of compost (Ishii *et al.*, 2000).

The ability of some earthworm species to compost a wide range of organic residues such as sewage sludge,

animal wastes, crop residues and industrial refuse have been established (Atiyeh *et al.*, 2002). Vermicomposts are finely divided peat-like manure materials with high porosity, aeration, drainage and water-holding capacity. This is because they have large surface area, providing strong absorption capacity and retention of nutrients (Ogefere, 2007).

It has been reported that the operating strategies used during composting of spent pig litter would influence the composting process and time of maturation (Tiquia, 2005). This study therefore is aimed to compare two composting techniques—vermicomposting and open heap technique, microbiologically.

### MATERIALS AND METHODS

#### Collection and processing of samples

“Freshly” voided excreta of confined pigs in Agricultural Development Programme Private pig farm in Oko village near Benin City, Edo State, Nigeria were used for this study. The aerobic composting of 300 kg pig waste was performed in three perforated (44 holes of 0.5 cm diameter) plastic drum (1.0 x 0.8 m) containers with lid. Each of the three composting vessels was three quarter filled with 100 kg of the pig waste. These vessels, as

reported by Atiyeh *et al.*, (2002), had their content unstirred but each had 30 earthworms (*Lumbricus terrestris*), added (11 juveniles and 19 adults). This set of vessels with earthworms was labeled vermicomposted vessels. In a second method, described earlier (Inbar *et al.*, 1993), triplicate of 100 kg pig waste were left as open heap on a cleared ground. All vessels (placed 5 m apart) as well as the open heaps (5 m apart) of waste were placed on the floor of a cleared netted surrounding. The heaps were not watered at interval and were not covered both during the day and at night, throughout the composting period.

These wastes were allowed to compost for 40 weeks at atmospheric temperature of  $29 \pm 3$  °C. At week 0, 1, 2, 4, 6, 8, 10, 14, 18, 20, 24, 30 and 40, the temperature of each composting vessel was taken. Using aseptic condition throughout, sterile universal container (Sterilin, Spain) three quarter filled with composting waste was removed from each vessel and heap and transported to the laboratory in ice packs and analysed microbiologically to determine the total viable bacterial and fungal counts, and types of bacteria and fungi present.

#### Microbiological examination

The pour plate method for bacterial enumeration as earlier described (Cruickshank *et al.*, 1975) was employed. The pig waste (10 g) was dissolved in 100 mL, sterile quarter strength Ringer's solution (Oxoid, England) and diluted serially. For bacterial counts, appropriate dilutions were incorporated in nutrient agar (Lab 8: LAB M™ International diagnostic group PLC, UK), amended with 20 µg/mL nystatin to inhibit fungal growth. Plates were prepared in triplicates and incubated at  $30 \pm 2$  °C and  $37 \pm 2$  °C for 24 h. For fungal counts, appropriate dilutions were incorporated in Sabourand dextrose agar (Cm 41 Oxoid, England) amended with 20 µg/mL gentamicin to inhibit bacterial growth. Plates were prepared in triplicates and incubated at room temperature ( $30 \pm 2$  °C) for 2 to 5 days. Emergent bacterial and fungal colonies were enumerated with a colony counter (Gallenkamp, England) and the mean counts in each waste sample obtained. Counts were quantified, as colony forming units/mL.

From the original composting pig waste Ringer's mixture, subculture were made on MacConkey agar (Oxoid, England), nutrient agar with nystatin, deoxycholate citrate agar (Biotic Laboratories, U.K.) and Sabourand dextrose agar with gentamicin. All plates were incubated at  $30 \pm 2$  °C and at  $37 \pm 2$  °C for 24 h apart from the Sabourand dextrose agar with gentamicin which were incubated for 2 to 5 days.

The emergent bacterial colonies were identified according to criteria of Barrow and Feltham (2003), while the fungi isolates were identified according to criteria of Rippon (1974) and Lodder (1971).

Parametric data were analysed manually, using two-way ANOVA without replication.

## RESULTS

The bacterial and fungal counts followed the same pattern throughout the composting period and only duration of composting significantly affected microbial counts ( $p < 0.05$ ) as both bacterial and fungal counts increased initially and then continue to decrease till the end of the composting period (Table 1)

The frequency of microbial isolates recovered in this study is shown in Table 2. A total of 274 microbial isolates were recovered and open heap (60.58%) yielded more isolates than vermicomposting (39.42%). *P. aeruginosa*, *B. subtilis* and *A. flavus* were the most prevalent isolates (9.49% each) while *S. typhimurium* and *S. aureus* were the least isolated (1.09% each).

Microbial succession during vermicomposting and open heap techniques are shown in Tables 3 and 4 respectively. Although, no definite pattern was observed, *P. aeruginosa*, *B. subtilis* and *A. flavus* were the only isolates recovered throughout the composting period irrespective of the composting technique.

## DISCUSSION

It is a well known fact that biologically processed organic manures are better than inorganic artificial fertilizers (University System News, 1994). One of the front liners in the world today is environmental protection and waste management. In addition, in Nigeria, the present government is encouraging agriculture and local production as against importation. One of the ways to merge these is the conversion of organic wastes to manure for agricultural use. During composting, the microorganisms use the organic matter as a food source. The process produces heat, carbon dioxide, water vapour and humus as a result of growth and activities of microorganisms (Tiquia, 2005). Monitoring of the microbial succession is important in the effective management of the composting process as microorganisms play key roles in the process and the appearance of some microorganisms reflects the quality of maturing compost (Ryckeboer *et al.*, 2003). Thus, this study is aimed at determining microbial succession during pig composting using two techniques.

The method of composting did not affect microbial counts ( $p > 0.05$ ). Microbial count were only significantly ( $p < 0.05$ ) affected by duration of composting (Table 1). In both techniques there was an initial increase in microbial count followed by steady decline. The initial increase could be due to the utilization of nutrients by the microorganisms present (Tiquia, 2005). The decrease in count may be due to the depletion of nutrients in the waste, accumulation of toxic products and unfavorable growth environment (Kowalchuk *et al.*, 1999). There are currently no reported values for an acceptable viable microbial count in finished compost. However, Atkinson *et al.* (1996), stressed that the microbial count should be low and should not contain significant quantities of viable pathogenic organisms.

**Table 1:** Total viable bacterial and fungal counts of composting pig waste

	Bacterial counts (x 10 <sup>3</sup> cfu/mL)		Fungal count (X10 <sup>2</sup> cfu/mL)	
	Vermicomposted	Open heap	Vermicomposted	Open heap
0	9400 ± 3.76	46000 ± 4.19	260 ± 2.77	280 ± 1.11
1	13000 ± 0.58	54000 ± 11.81	270 ± 1.39	290 ± 1.39
2	79000 ± 1.15	74000 ± 0.88	100 ± 2.01	580 ± 0.87
4	410 ± 4.66	670 ± 1.00	15 ± 2.13	560 ± 0.44
6	3.8 ± 0.87	850 ± 7.20	16 ± 0.59	78 ± 1.13
8	2.5 ± 1.00	81 ± 1.15	10 ± 0.88	99 ± 1.15
10	0.91 ± 0.03	7.2 ± 2.40	5 ± 1.09	87 ± 1.76
14	0.47 ± 0.73	7.6 ± 2.31	2 ± 0.99	75 ± 0.78
18	0.29 ± 0.02	5.9 ± 1.45	5 ± 1.15	32 ± 1.00
20	0.31 ± 0.08	0.38 ± 0.15	2 ± 0.98	10 ± 2.01
24	0.26 ± 0.16	2.9 ± 0.37	2 ± 1.00	50 ± 2.10
30	0.25 ± 0.20	2.3 ± 0.19	2.5 ± 0.17	58 ± 1.26
40	0.23 ± 0.20	2.7 ± 0.77	2.1 ± 0.11	54 ± 0.68

Note: Each value is the mean ± standard error of the mean of the triplicate

**Table2:** Frequency of isolates recovered from composting pig waste

Organisms	Vermicomposted	Open heap	Total
<i>Escherichia coli</i>	12 (11.11%)	13 (7.83%)	25 (9.12%)
<i>Klebsiella aerogenes</i>	3 (2.78%)	13 (7.83%)	16 (5.84%)
<i>Proteus mirabilis</i>	2 (1.85%)	3 (1.81%)	5 (1.82%)
<i>Pseudomonas aeruginosa</i>	13 (12.04%)	13 (7.83%)	26 (9.49%)
<i>Salmonella typhimurium</i>	1 (0.93%)	2 (1.20%)	3 (1.09%)
<i>Serratia marcescens</i>	2 (1.85%)	13 (7.83%)	15 (5.47%)
<i>Actinomycetes species</i>	13 (12.04%)	12 (7.23%)	25 (9.12%)
<i>Bacillus subtilis</i>	13 (12.04%)	13 (7.83%)	26 (9.49%)
<i>Clostridium perfringes</i>	6 (5.56%)	12 (7.23%)	18 (6.57%)
<i>Enterococcus faecalis</i>	3 (2.78%)	7 (4.22%)	10 (3.65%)
<i>Staphylococcus aureus</i>	2 (1.85%)	1 (0.60%)	3 (1.09%)
<i>Staphylococcus saprophyticus</i>	8 (7.41%)	2 (1.20%)	10 (3.65%)
<i>Candida albicans</i>	4 (3.70%)	10 (6.02%)	14 (5.11%)
<i>Saccharomyces cerevisiae</i>	5 (4.63%)	13 (7.83%)	18 (6.57%)
<i>Aspergillus flavus</i>	13 (12.04%)	13 (7.83%)	26 (9.49%)
<i>Mucor species</i>	4 (3.70%)	13 (7.83%)	17 (6.20%)
<i>Rhizopus nigricans</i>	4 (3.70%)	13 (7.83%)	17 (6.20%)
Total	108 (39.42%)	166 (60.58%)	274 (100%)

A total of 274 microbial isolates were recovered in this study (Table 2). Of these, the open heap yielded the highest, 166 (60.58%), compared with vermicomposting, 108 (39.42%). Enclosing the composting waste in a vessel helped to reduce the number and types of microbial isolates (Jagar *et al.*, 1994). This may explain the result obtained in this study (Table 2). The result also shows that *P. aeruginosa*, *B. subtilis* and *A. flavus* were the most prevalent organisms isolated (9.49% each), while *S. typhimurium* and *S. aureus* were the least isolated organisms (1.09% each) (Table 2). The presence of *Bacillus species*, *Aspergillus species* and *Pseudomonas species*, has also been reported in compost (Ryckebøer *et al.*, 2003). These organisms are ubiquitous and saprophytic and these may explain their high prevalence in this study. Though *S. aureus* is also ubiquitous, it is possible that nutrients and conditions in the compost did not favour its proliferation.

The process of composting has been divided into mesophilic, thermophilic and curing stages (Droffner and Yamamoto, 1991). However, these three processes can only be followed *senso stricto* if heat is not allowed to escape (Kaneshiro *et al.*, 1999) as it affects microbial succession.

For vermicomposting, all isolates were present at the start of the composting process, but only *P. aeruginosa*, *Actinomycetes species*, *B. subtilis* and *A. flavus* were found at the end and through out the composting period (Table 3). In the case of the open heaps, *Actinomycetes species*, *C. perfringes* and *S. aureus* were not present at the commencement of composting. They may have been introduced as environmental contaminants. *E. coli*, *K. aerogenes*, *P. aeruginosa*, *S. marcescens*, *B. subtilis*, *S. cerevisiae*, *A. flavus*, *Mucor species* and *Rhizopus species* were the isolates present from the beginning to

**Table 3:** Microbial isolates in vermicomposted vessels at various composting period

	Duration (weeks)												
	0	1	2	4	6	8	10	14	18	20	24	30	40
<i>Escherichia coli</i>	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-
<i>Klebsiella aerogenes</i>	+	+	-	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
<i>Proteus mirabilis</i>	+	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
<i>Pseudomonas aeruginosa</i>	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
<i>Salmonella typhimurium</i>	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
<i>Serratia mercerscens</i>	+	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
<i>Actinomycetes species</i>	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
<i>Bacillus subtilis</i>	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
<i>Clostridium perfringes</i>	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
<i>Enterococcus faecalis</i>	+	+	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
<i>Staphylococcus aureus</i>	+	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
<i>Staphylococcus saprophyticus</i>	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	-	-	-	-
<i>Candida albicans</i>	+	+	+	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
<i>Saccharomyces cerevisiae</i>	+	+	+	+	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
<i>Aspergillus flavus</i>	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
<i>Mucor species</i>	+	+	+	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
<i>Rhizopus nigricans</i>	+	+	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

**Key :** + = Isolated  
- = Not Isolated

**Table 4:** Microbial isolates in open heap at various composting periods

	Duration (weeks)												
	0	1	2	4	6	8	10	14	18	20	24	30	40
<i>Escherichia coli</i>	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
<i>Klebsiella aerogenes</i>	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
<i>Proteus mirabilis</i>	+	+	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
<i>Pseudomonas aeruginosa</i>	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
<i>Salmonella typhimurium</i>	+	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
<i>Serratia mercerscens</i>	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
<i>Actinomycetes species</i>	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
<i>Bacillus subtilis</i>	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
<i>Clostridium perfringes</i>	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
<i>Enterococcus faecalis</i>	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	+	+	-	-	-	-
<i>Staphylococcus aureus</i>	-	-	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
<i>Staphylococcus saprophyticus</i>	+	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
<i>Candida albicans</i>	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	+	+	-	-
<i>Saccharomyces cerevisiae</i>	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
<i>Aspergillus flavus</i>	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
<i>Mucor species</i>	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
<i>Rhizopus nigricans</i>	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+

**Key :** + = Isolated  
- = Not Isolated

the end of composting (Table 4). The succession of microorganisms obtained in this study does not follow a definite pattern (Tables 3 and 4). It has been reported that it is difficult to trace the succession of bacteria in a composting process (Droffner and Britton, 1995). However, it has been recommended that *Salmonella* and *E. coli* be absent in animal waste compost for use as biofertilizer

(Schleiff and Dorn, 1997). Thus, the presence of *E. coli* at the end of composting in the open heap may make open heap compost unsuitable as biofertilizer. This, together with the lower number of isolates and microbial counts makes vermicomposted technique a better method of composting than the open heap technique.

In conclusion, the study reveals reduction in microbial counts as well as microbial succession without a definite pattern. Vermicomposting technique is recommended in comparison to open heap technique due to the absence of pathogenic enteric organisms and lower microbial counts at the end of the composting period.

## REFERENCES

- Atiyeh, R. M., Arancon, N. Q., Edwards, C. A. and Metzger, J. D. (2002).** The influence of earthworm – processed pig manure on the growth and productivity of marigold. *Bioresource Technology* **81**, 103-108.
- Atkinson, C. J., Jones, D. D. and Gauthier, J. J. (1996).** Biodegradability and microbial activities during composting of poultry litter. *Poultry Science* **75**, 608-617.
- Barrow, G. I. and Feltham, R. K. A. (2003).** Cowan and Steel's manual for the Identification of medical bacteria, (3<sup>rd</sup> edition). Cambridge University Press, Cambridge. pp. 331.
- Borken, W., Muhs, A. and Reese, F. (2002).** Changes in microbial and soil properties following compost treatment of degraded temperate forest soils. *Soil Biology Biochemistry* **34**, 403-412.
- Cruickshank, R., Duguid, J. P., Marmion, B. P. and Swain, R. H. A. (1975).** Medical microbiology (12<sup>th</sup> edition). Churchill Livingstone, New York. pp. 195–200.
- Droffner, M. L. and Britton, W. L. (1995).** Survival of *E. coli* and *Salmonella* populations in aerobic thermophilic composts as measured with DNA gene probes. *Zentralblatt fur Hygiene und Umweltmedizin* **197**, 387-397.
- Droffner, M. L. and Yamamoto, N. (1991).** Isolation of thermotolerant mutants of *B. subtilis* and *B. pumilus* and transformation of the thermophilic trait to mesophilic strains. *Journal of General and Applied Microbiology* **131**, 2791-2794.
- Guibileo, L., Sarti, A. M., Bianchi, L. A., Calcaterra, E. and Colombi, A. (1998).** Review of risks of biological agents and preventive measures to safeguard the health of compost production workers. *Medical Law Review* **89**, 301-315.
- Inbar, I., Hadar, Y. and Chen, Y. (1993).** Recycling of cattle manure, the composting process and characterization of maturity. *Journal of Environmental Quality* **22**, 857-863.
- Ishii, K., Fukui, M. and Takii, S. (2000).** Microbial succession during a composting process as evaluated by denaturing gradient gel electrophoresis analysis. *Journal of Applied Microbiology* **89**, 768-777.
- Jagar, E., Rupen, H. and Zeschmarlahi, B. (1994).** Microbiological quality of compost with special regard to disposable diapers. *Zentralblatt Hygiene Umweltmedizin* **196**, 245-257.
- Jarvis, A. S., McFarland, V. A. and Honeycutt, M. E. (1988).** Assessment of the effectiveness of composting for the reduction of toxicity and mutagenicity of explosive contaminated soil. *Exotoxicology and Environmental Safety* **39**, 131-135.
- Kaneshiro, I., Kuo, I. M. and Nakamura, I. K. (1999).** Conversion of unsaturated fatty acids by bacteria isolated from compost. *Current Microbiology* **38**, 250-255.
- Kowalchuk, G. A., Naomenko, Z. S., Derika, P. I., Felske, A., Stephen, J. R. and Arkhipchenko, I. A. (1999).** Molecular analysis of ammonia-oxidizing bacteria of the beta subdivision of the class proteobacteria in compost and composted materials. *Applied and Environmental Microbiology* **65**, 396-403.
- Lodder, J. (1971).** The yeasts – a taxonomic study. North Holland publishing company, Amsterdam. pp. 1385.
- Ogefere, H. O. (2007).** Microbiological and physico-chemical studies of composting pig wastes. Ph.D. thesis. University of Benin.
- Rippon, J. W. (1974).** Medical mycology: The pathogenic fungi and pathogenic actinomycetes. W. B. Saunders, London. pp. 174.
- Ryckeboer, J., Mergaert, J., Coosemans, J., Deprins, K. and Swing, J. (2003).** Microbiological aspects of biowaste during composting in monitored compost bin. *Journal of Applied Microbiology* **94**, 127-137.
- Schleiff, G. and Dorn, W. (1997).** Hygienic bacteriologic evaluation of methods for production of dry poultry faeces manure. *Zentralblatt fur Hygiene und Umweltmedizin* **199**, 475-495.
- Tiquia, S. M. (2005).** Microbiological parameters as indicators of compost maturity. *Journal of Applied Microbiology* **99**, 816-828.
- University System News (1994).** Four universities test – run human waste composting Facility. *University System News* **4**, 8.