



Laboratory for Mathematical Modelling of Environmental and Technological Processes, Faculty of Physics and Mathematics, University of Latvia

Summary

The goal of this study was to check the suitability of application of regional climate model (RCM) forcing data for hydrological modelling.

The calibrated hydrological model was employed for the run-off calculations of climatic reference period (1961-1990). The first step of the study was to statistically compare (1) observed discharge, (2) modelled discharge using observed temperature and precipitation as the forcing, (3) modelled discharge using the temperature and precipitation time series from the best RCM as the forcing. The monthly average observed discharge agrees well with the modelled discharge in case of usage of the observed forcing. The agreement of observed discharge with modelled discharge using RCM data is rather disappointing, especially during winter and spring snow melt flood periods. Usage of the meteorological forcing from the RCM's reference period overestimates yearly average discharge by approximately 70%. The second step of our study was to modify and use the modified RCM data as an input for hydrological modelling. The modification method relies on equalizing of temperature and precipitation histograms between observed and RCM data for each day of the year and each observation location. We show that calculated monthly average discharges agree quite well with observed in the case of use of modified RCM data as a forcing.

In the third step we applied RCM modification method to the climatic scenarious A2 and B2 from selected regional climate model and calculated corresponding hydrological scenarious.



> Lake model – solves for waterlevel of lakes

The spatially distributed finite volume based hydrological model was set-up for the pilot basin in central Latvia. The primary forcing input for the model consists of the timeseries of temperature and precipitation. We considered set of 21 RCM model output data from the PRUDENCE project. They were statisically tested against temperature and precipitation observations for the reference period (1961-1990). The best performing RCM was selected according to penalty function constructed on base of monthly temperature, precipitation and average montly standard deviation of temperature and precipitation.



Application of regional climate data as input for hydrological modelling J.Sennikovs and A. Timuhins



Meteorological data modification

Temperature-precipitation diagrams for observed data (obs), RCM control period (ctl), modified RCM control period (modctl)



Correllation coefficients between temperature and precipitation. Results from the four most skilled models are depicted.



agreement of temperature-precipitation The correlation cannot be significantly improved by the modification method. Both modified and unmodified RCM output overestimates positive correlation between temperature and precipitation during autumn/winter (Nov-Feb) for all of the 4 considered models. It means that, on average, precipitation snow percentage underestimated. Some of the models may overestimate negative correlation during summer months.

VALSTS PĒTĪJUMU PROGRAMMA

RCM selection

Penalty function and its components that characterize relative prediction skill of different RCM runs. P is precipitation, T temperature and CV is coefficient of variation of precipitation.







Spring peak shifts will occur earlier